

Information Retrieval ITIS401 Chapter-8 2021-2022 Dr Mohamed Abdeldaiem



Lecture Overview

- Interpretation and Execution of Query Statements
- Search: Part of a user task
- Handling User Queries
- Information Retrieval Models
- Classical IR Models

Interpretation and Execution of Query Statements

In Chapter 7, we described the languages and logic of information retrieval systems from the point of view of a user. Here, we begin our look inside the IRS to understand how commands are interpreted and executed.



Search: Part of a user task



Classical IR

1-This is central task

2-Add feedback loop where user refines query.

Modern IR

- Part of the Big Picture
- An essential tool
- Used in search,filtering, and browsing

Handling User Queries

Goal of the search component

- predict which documents are relevant to the user's need
- rank the documents in order of predicted likelihood of relevance to the user.

Need a model which encompasses

- documents
- queries
- ranking functions



Information Retrieval Models

A retrieval model consists of:

- D: representation for documents
- R: representation for queries
- F: a modeling framework for D, Q, and the relationships among them R(q, d i): a ranking or similarity function which orders the documents with respect to a query.



Classical IR Models

- Boolean model
- Vector Space model
- Probabilistic model



The Boolean Model

Based on set theory and Boolean algebra

- Documents are sets of terms
- Queries are Boolean expressions on terms

Historically the most common model

- Library OPACs
- Dialog system
- Many web search engines, too



The Boolean Model, Formally

- **D: set of words (indexing terms)** present in a document each term is either present (1) or absent (0)
- **Q: A Boolean expression** terms are index terms operators are AND, OR, and NOT
- F: Boolean algebra over sets of terms and sets of documents



Boolean Relevance Prediction

- **R**: a document is predicted as relevant to a query expression iff it satisfies the query expression
- ((text \lor information) \land retrieval \land \neg theory)
- Each query term specifies a set of documents containing the term
- AND (\land): the intersection of two sets
- OR (\vee): the union of two sets
- NOT (¬): set inverse, or really set difference



Boolean Relevance example

((text v information) ^ retrieval ^ ¬theory)

- "Information Retrieval"
- "Information Theory"
- "Modern Information Retrieval: Theory and Practice"
- "Text Compression"



Implementing the Boolean Model

- First, consider purely conjunctive queries
- Only satisfied by a document containing all three terms
- If D(t a) = { d | t a ∈ d }, then the maximum possible size of the retrieved set is the size of the smallest D(t a)
- |D(t a)| is the length of the inverted list for t a



Algorithm for AND queries

- For each query term t
 - 1. retrieve lexicon entry for t
 - 2. note f, and address of I, (inverted list)
- Sort query terms by increasing f_t
- Initialize candidate set C with I_t of the term with the smallest f_t
- 4. For each remaining t
 - 1. Read I_t
 - 2. For each $d \in C$, if $d \notin I_{t}$, $C \leq C \{d\}$
 - 3. If C = {}, return... there are no relevant docs
- 5. Look up each $d \in C$ and return to the user

Beyond AND

- Consider a query that is a conjunction of dis-junctions (AND of OR's)
- (text OR data OR image) AND (compression OR compaction) AND (retrieval OR indexing OR archiving)
- Treat each dis-junction as a single term merge the inverted lists for each OR'd term or, just add the f t values for a worst-case approximation of the candidate set size



Thoughts on the Boolean Model

- Very simple model based on sets
 - easy to understand and implement
- Only retrieves exact matches
- No ranking of documents:
 - r() is Boolean
- Retrieves too much, or too little

Sets are easy, but complex Boolean expressions aren't 'cats and dogs' vs. (cats AND dogs)

• All terms are equally important



Any Question...?